## SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

## **County of San Diego**

DATE: December 11, 2006 DEPT. 71 REPORTER A: CSR#

PRESENT HON. RONALD S. PRAGER REPORTER B: CSR#

**JUDGE** 

**CLERK: K. Sandoval** 

4221-00020

BAILIFF: REPORTER'S ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 120128

**SAN DIEGO, CA 92112-4104** 

## TENTATIVE RULING

DETERMINATION OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT DYNERGY INC., WEST COAST POWER LLC, DYNEGY MARKETING AND TRADE AND DYNEGY POWER MARKETING INC.

IN RE: JCCP 4221/4224/4226&4428 – Natural Gas Anti-Trust Cases (Price Indexing)

The attached Court's tentative ruling applies to all cases listed as follows:

**UYEDA vs CENTERPOINT ENERGY INC** 

BENSCHEIDT vs AEP ENERGY SERVICES INC
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA vs SEMPRA ENERGY
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO vs SEMPRA ENERGY
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO vs SEMPRA ENERGY
OLDER vs SEMPRA ENERGY
CITY OF SAN DIEGO vs SEMPRA ENERGY
TAMCO vs DYNEGY INC
A L GILBERT COMPANY vs CORAL ENERGY RESOURCES LP
OBERTI WHOLESALE FOOD INC vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES INC
BROWN vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES INC
LOIS THE PIE QUEEN vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES INC
VITTICE CORPORATION vs ENCANA CORPORATION
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA vs SEMPRA ENERGY
THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA vs RELIANT ENERGY
SERVICES INC
SCHOOL PROJECT FOR UTILITY RATE REDUCTION vs SEMPRA ENERGY
ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS vs SEMPRA ENERGY
OWENS-BROCKWAY GLASS CONTAINER INC vs SEMPRA ENERGY
TEAM DESIGN DBA TIMOTHY ENGELN INC vs RELIANT ENERGY INC
CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER vs RELIANT
ENERGY SERVICES INC
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT vs RELIANT ENERGY SERVICES
INC

	<del>-</del>
4221-00041	SHANGHAI 1930 RESTRAURANT PARTNERS LP vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES
	INC
4221-00042	PODESTA vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES INC
4221-00042	PODESTA vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES INC
4221-00044	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00045	BUSTAMANTE vs WILLIAMS ENERGY SERVICES
4221-00046	PABCO BUILDING PRODUCTS vs DYNEGY INC
4221-00047	BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY vs DYNEGY INC
4221-00043	NURSERYMAN'S EXCHANGE OF HALF MOON BAY vs SEMPRA ENERGY

GOOD FAITH-DYNEGY ET. AL

**December 11, 2006** 

The Court rules on defendants Dynegy Inc., West Coast Power LLC, Dynegy Marketing and Trade, and Dynegy Power Marketing, Inc.'s motion for determination of good faith settlement (collectively "Dynegy Defendants") as follows:

This unopposed motion for good faith settlement is GRANTED for the reasons stated below.

The factors that a Court considers in evaluating whether a settlement was made in good faith is set forth in <u>Tech-Bilt</u>, <u>Inc. v. Woodward-Clyde & Associates</u> (1985) 38 Cal. 3d 488, 499.

Here, the Dynegy Defendants have set forth sufficient evidence for this Court find that the requirements outlined in <u>Tech-Bilt</u> have been met in this case. Based on the information provided by the moving parties, the Court concludes that the settlement between the Class Plaintiffs and the Dynegy Defendants is within the ballpark of its proportionate share of liability. See Tribble Declaration, ¶4 and Exhibit A; See also Dynegy Defendants' Memorandum of Points and Authorities, pp. 6-8. The Court also notes that no evidence of collusion, fraud, or tortious conduct has been brought to this Court's attention. <u>Ibid.</u>

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

**JCCP 4221-INDEXING**